

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/042,394		01/11/2002	Ronald Steiger	713-611	5485	
22429	7590	11/14/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		N GILMAN AND	HWU, I	HWU, DAVIS D		
1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300 /310			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXAND	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3752		
				DATE MAILED: 11/14/200	$\mathcal{L}_{03}$	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

s	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/042,394	STEIGER, RONALD					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Davis Hwu	3752					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J	anuany 2002						
	s action is non-final.						
<i>,</i>							
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,9-12 and 14-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,8,13 and 17-20</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5,7.8 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Act	ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 9					

.

ε,

Application/Control Number: 10/042,394

Art Unit: 3752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 9-12, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hammond.

The patent to Hammond shows a coating-liquid spray system containing a liquid atomizer 324 in the form of a rotary atomizing element to spray the coating liquid onto an object to be coated, characterized in that it comprises an accessory-liquid feed unit 30A fitted with a discharge 32A to meter the accessory liquid into the coating-liquid's spray jet being sprayed from discharge 32C (see Figures 3B-3D).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hammond.

The patent to Hammond discloses the instant invention except for the accessory-liquid feed unit being designed to drip the accessory liquid as recited. It would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/042,394

Art Unit: 3752

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have designed the accessory-liquid feed unit of Hammond to drip the accessory drip since such a modification would only require controlling the flow rate of the accessory liquid.

5. Claims 1-4, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hammond.

The patent to Hammond discloses the instant invention except for the method, however, since Hammond discloses all of the limitations of the instant apparatus, the apparatus of Hammond is capable of carrying out the method as recited. Regarding claim 7, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have designed the accessory-liquid feed unit of Hammond to drip the accessory drip since such a modification would only require controlling the flow rate of the accessory liquid.

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5, 8, 13, and 17-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Liu, Tufts, and Fritz are pertinent to Applicant's invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,394

**Art Unit: 3752** 

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis Hwu whose telephone number is 703-305-1663. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (703)308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0861.

Davis Hwu